

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,840	06/27/2003	Hidehiko Kumazawa	11-167	4817
23400	7590 11/29/2005		EXAM	INER
POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC			CHIN, GARY	
12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE SUITE 101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, V	A 20191		3661	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/606,840	KUMAZAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary Chin	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the individual of the indi	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	 This action is non-final.					
		rosecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement					
	aror disonom roquiromonic					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/27/03.	(08) 5) Notice of Informat 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/606,840

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-2 and 5-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyaguchi et al (patent no. 6373147).

As per claims 1, 9 and 10, figures 1-2 of the Miyaguchi et al reference disclose the claimed activation system for a passenger protection apparatus in accordance with a behavior of a vehicle including a collision acceleration sensor provided in a front of the vehicle (item 6), a conversion means for converting the analog signal outputted from the collision acceleration sensor into digital data (col. 6, lines 14-16), a transmission means (item 34 in figure 2) and a control unit (item 1) included therein a room acceleration sensor (item 8), a reception means (item 3) and a collision decision means (item 2) for making a decision on the occurrence of

Application/Control Number: 10/606,840

Art Unit: 3661

collision of the vehicle on the basis of the output from the room acceleration sensor and the digital signal derived from the front acceleration sensor and for controlling the activation of the passenger protection apparatus (item 9) based upon the result of the decision. It is noted that the room acceleration sensor in Miyaguchi et al is located in the floor tunnel of the vehicle and is not explicitly indicated as in the central portion of the vehicle as claimed. However, it is readily apparent for one skilled in the art that the floor tunnel is usually located in the central portion of the vehicle as claimed.

As per claim 2, it would have been readily for one skilled in the art that the activation system as taught in Miyaguchi et al can be adapted for the claimed side collision in a similar fashion as in the front collision as disclosed therein without any inventive effort.

As per claims 5-8, it is noted that the claimed resolution and sampling rate have not been specifically indicated in the A/D converter (col. 6, lines 14-16) of the Miyaguchi et al reference. However, it is readily apparent for one skilled in the art that such resolution and sampling rate are normally used in order to achieve a proper conversion accuracy.

As per claims 9-10, the Miyaguchi et al reference in column 5 clearly disclosed that the transmission means (item 34) is made to carry out a current communication as claimed.

As per claims 11-16, the additionally claimed transmission techniques to ensure the received data are correct are extremely well known in the communication art. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to incorporate such well-known techniques into the Miyaguchi et al system so that the reception of the correct data can be assured.

4. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyaguchi et al (patent no. 6373147) in view of Foo et al (patent no. 6095554).

Application/Control Number: 10/606,840 Page 4

Art Unit: 3661

As per claims 3 and 4, it is noted that the additionally claimed filter means for the front collision sensor has not been disclosed in the Miyaguchi et al reference. However, such filter means is extremely well known in the art and clearly taught in figure 1, item 28 of the Foo et al reference. Hence, it would have been readily apparent for one skilled in the art to incorporate such well known filter means as taught in Foo et al into the Miyaguchi et al system so that the unwanted noise or interference can be eliminated.

- 5. The additional references are cited to show the related systems. Applicant(s) should consider them carefully when responding to the current office action.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Chin whose telephone number is (571) 272-6959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G. Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER
